

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/648,069	08/26/2003	Neelima Atluri	NAI001	3378
28848	7590 01/06/2005 .		EXAMINER	
TOPE-MCKAY & ASSOCIATES			SILBERMANN, JOANNE	
23852 PACIFIC COAST HIGHWAY #311		1	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MALIBU, CA	90265		ARTUNII	PAPER NUMBER
			3611	

DATE MAILED: 01/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Andrew Co.	10/648,069	ATLURI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Joanne Silbermann	3611				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 (	October 2004.					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	cepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the	e Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received.  Its have been received in Application of the properties of	ation No ved in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	<b></b>					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	4)  Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5)  Notice of Informa 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/648,069

Art Unit: 3611

## Page 2

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 5, 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schutten, US #6,575,297.
- 3. Schutten discloses an illustrative drug card comprising illustrative portion 32 and visually aided instruction 14. The display is foldable. Regarding claims 1 and 5, illustrative portion 32 includes list represented by an illustration of each medication, wherein the illustration is a pouch (Figure 1). Allergies and contact information are also included (Figure 1). The information (once written) in area 14 is considered to be "visual" since it can be seen. Additionally, Applicant's specification describes the visually aided instruction as text or symbols (page 6).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 4, 6-9, 12 and 14-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schutten.

Application/Control Number: 10/648,069

Art Unit: 3611

3. Schutten does not specifically teach a picture of the medication, however, this is considered to be an equivalent alternative. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to show a picture of the medication instead of a sample as an alternate way of portraying the medication.

Page 3

- 4. Regarding claims 6-9, Schutten does not particularly teach symbols, however, this is considered to be a matter of design choice. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to display whatever information is deemed necessary for the patient. Also, patentable novelty cannot be principally predicated on mere printed matter and arrangements thereof, but must reside basically in physical structure. In re Montgomery, 102 USPQ 248 (CCPA 1954).
- 5. Claims 3, 23-30 and 32-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schutten in view of Stern, US #4,310,978.
- 6. Schutten does not teach the card as being magnetic, however, this is well known in the art of cards. Stern teaches an information card including a magnetic layer (Figure 4). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to utilize a magnetic layer in the device of Schutten so that the card may be displayed on a magnetic surface where it may be more easily seen.
- 7. Claims 13 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schutten in view of Will, US #4,593,819.
- 8. Schutten does not teach using Braille, however, this is well known in the art. Will teaches a medical chart including Braille thereon (Figure 2). It would have been

Art Unit: 3611

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize Braille on the device of Schutten so that visually impaired patients may use the card.

Page 4

- 9. Claims 31 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schutten in view of Stern and Will.
- 10. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize Braille on the magnetic card for the same reasons as described above.

#### Response to Arguments

- 11. Applicant's arguments filed October 12, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 12. Applicant argues that Schutten does not show visually aided instruction. however, as discussed in the above rejection, text is considered to be visual, and Applicant's specification describes the visually aided instruction as being text or symbols.
- 13. Applicant argues that Schutten is not foldable into substantially planar form because of the pouches. However, the claim language only requires the card to be foldable. The phrase "wallet sized" does not impart any restriction that the folded card be small, since some wallets are very large. Additionally, Applicant's card may include pouches, which would restrict folding, according to Applicant's own argument.
- 14. Regarding the use of symbols instead of text, it is all considered to be printed matter. The use of printed matter to convey instructions on using a product is not new or unobvious. As for the particular content of the printed matter, where sole distinction set out in claims over prior art is in printed matter, there being no new feature of

Art Unit: 3611

physical structure and no new relation of printed matter to physical structure, such

claims may not be allowed; it is only where claims define either new features of

structure of new relations of printed matter to structure, or both, which new features or

new relations give rise to some new and useful function, effect, or result, that the claims

may be allowed. Ex parte GWINN, 112, USPQ 439 (BdApp&Int 1955).

15. Regarding the Stern reference, Schutten states that the device need only be

flexible (column 2, line 29, among other places). Stern also indicates that the device is

flexible (column 4 line 64). Items with such magnetic backing need not necessarily be

bulky or stiff.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Joanne Silbermann whose telephone number is 703-

308-2091. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 5:30 - 2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on 703-308-0629. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 6

Joanne Silberman Primary Examiner Art Unit 3611

JS